GEACC Meeting Notes

April 16, 2015

Chairperson: James Pronovost

Vice Chairperson: Burton Tedesco

Secretary: Amy Lenoce

Committee Attendance:
Beth-Ann Scott- Written Communication
Burton Tedesco- Aesthetics
Amy Lenoce- Oral Communication
James Pronovost- Ethics
Jaime Hammond- Continuing Learning/ Info Literacy- absent
Sandra Eddy- Critical Analysis/ Logical Thinking
Karla- Ekquist-Lechner- Historical Knowledge
Kathryn Lozo- Quantitative Reasoning
Alexander Zozulin- Scientific Knowledge
Peter Benzi- Scientific Reasoning
Joseph Ward- Social Phenomena

Guests: Ed Clancy, Patti Pallis, Ron Picard, Yhara Zelinka

- 1. Vote to approve minutes from April 9, 2015 meeting
 - a. Correction to E. "they thought"
 - b. Motion to approve made by K. Lozo
 - c. Second to motion made by A. Zozulin
 - d. Vote: unanimous to accept; absent from vote P. Benzi
- 2. Program Modification applications were reviewed (J. Pronovost)
 - a. Engineering Science
 - i. Exemption for Social Phenomena
 - ii. Motion to approve K. Lozo
 - iii. Second to motion made by A. Zozulin
 - iv. Vote- unanimous to approve
 - b. Technology Studies: Engineering Technology Option
 - i. Course limitations:
 - 1. Historical Knowledge (by transfer agreement)
 - 2. Oral Communication (by transfer agreement)
 - 3. Quantitative Reasoning, Scientific Knowledge, & Scientific Reasoning (by TAP agreement)
 - ii. Motion to approve made by K. Lozo
 - iii. Second to motion made by A. Zozulin
 - iv. Vote- unanimous to approve
 - c. Technology Studies & Technology Studies: Lean Manufacturing Option
 - Course limitations:

- 1. Historical Knowledge (by transfer agreement)
- 2. Oral Communication (by transfer agreement)
- 3. Quantitative Reasoning, Scientific Knowledge, & Scientific Reasoning (by TAP agreement)
- ii. Motion to approve made by A. Zozulin
- iii. Second to motion made by B. Tedesco
- iv. Vote- unanimous to approve
- 3. Course applications were reviewed (J. Pronovost)
 - a. GLG 121 for Scientific Reasoning
 - i. Motion to accept made by A. Zozulin
 - ii. Second to motion made by J. Pronovost
 - iii. Vote: unanimous to approve
 - b. BIO 108 for Scientific Reasoning
 - Move course outcome #1 to BOR outcome #5 & add in additional course outcomes
 - ii. Motion to accept made by A. Zozulin
 - iii. Second to motion made by A. Lenoce
 - iv. Vote: unanimous to approve
 - c. PHY 221 & 222 for Scientific Reasoning
 - i. Motion to accept made by J. Pronovost
 - ii. Second to motion made by K. Lozo
 - iii. Vote: unanimous to approve
 - d. PSY 259 for Scientific Reasoning
 - i. Outcomes are too broad. It is not clear what they are teaching. What are the additional outcomes?
 - ii. Motion to reject made by A. Zozulin
 - iii. Second to motion made by B. Tedesco
 - iv. Vote: unanimous to approve
 - e. PHY 221 & 222 for ScientificKnowledge
 - i. Motion to accept made by A. Zozulin
 - ii. Second to motion made by K. Lozo
 - iii. Vote: unanimous to approve
- 4. Discussion of Aesthetic Dimension Linked to Written Communication competency
 - a. CAPPS ran data and found that currently 2/3 of the enrollment in the Art, Dance, Theater classes would not be enrolled if ENG 101 was made a pre-requisite for those classes. R. Picard suggested restricting the ART 101 courses to aesthetic dimension only by:
 - i. Creating an aesthetic dimension w/ written communication competency
 - ii. Remove art from current AS/WC competency
 - iii. Create and AS only competency
 - b. Motion to create an Aesthetic Dimension (3cr) with Written Communication (0cr) competency.
 - i. Motion to made by B. Tedesco
 - ii. Second to motion made by B. Scott
 - iii. Vote: unanimous to approve

- c. Motion to remove courses from a Aesthetic Dimension (3cr) with Written Communication (3cr) competency (ART 101 & 102, Dan 102 & 102, Mus 101, Thr 101 & 110).
 - i. Motion to made by B. Tedesco
 - ii. Second to motion made by B. Scott
 - iii. Vote: unanimous to approve
- d. Motion to remove PHL101 Aesthetic Dimension competency.
 - i. Motion to made by J. Pronovost
 - ii. Second to motion made by B. Scott
 - iii. Vote: unanimous to approve
- 5. Next Steps (R. Picard)
 - a. Can the committee choose a deadline for assessment reports?
 - b. Can the committee choose the next two areas for assessment?
 - **c.** Looking for ideas on what types of professional development the committee can do for the faculty.
 - d. Ron and Beth-Ann wish to put together a panel presentation for the Association of American Colleges and Universities General Education and Assessment Meeting, February 2016.
 - e. May 7th- meeting at Spartans. Details to follow.
- 6. Motion to adjourn 2:05pm made by Katie Lozo.